

To be permanently
preserved

App. F. IX-4

1924-25

File No. 263-24

Previous References

lets 301-21, 1-2
11 96-22, 1-6
11 171-17, 1-3
11 333-14, 1-4

Later References

Serial Nos. 112

preserve

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 700

Part B for April 1925.

SUBJECT

Question of removal of the distinction bet
the 1st & 2nd State Pension

Dr. H. J. 700
1874/25

01010

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

(4)

No. C. 9

1927-28

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT

(clause II of the schedule)

Question of removal of ^{the} distinction between the 1st and 2nd Grade Pleaders, and consequent amendment to the Mysore Legal Practitioners' Regulation.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

M. S. S. S. S.
Chief Secretary to Government.

8th July 1927.

254
8/7
176
8/7
267
9/7
First Member of Council.

Second Member of Council.

Third Member of Council.

419 ✓
1

G. R. S. III-1]

1925

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(15)

NOTES.

File No. 263-24

FILE HEADING.

Representation of Second grade Pleaders to appear in subordinate
judicial courts in small cause suits.

From

The Registrar, Chief Court

No. 89

Dated 8-4-25

Received 13-4-25

Diary No. 4379

Enclos. nil Spare Copies.

Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that during the inspection of the subordinate courts, the Second grade
Pleaders represented that they may be permitted to appear in small cause cases in the
subordinate courts and ~~therefore~~ recommends that they may be permitted to appear in small
cause cases irrespective of the court in which they are filed and suitable
amendments made in the Legal Practitioners Regulation.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Two representations have been received on the same subject
from the Second grade Pleaders and they were given an
endorsement that they should apply to the Chief Court.
They seem to have made representations to the Chief
Judge during his recent inspection ~~therefore~~ here.

43726

Serial
No.

2)

The Chief Court recommends that these second grade pleaders may be allowed to appear in small cause cases irrespective of the Court in which they are filed.

3) According to proviso to section 7 of the Legal Practitioners Regulation, the second grade pleaders may appear only in the Courts of the Munsiffs and Magistrates of the. Recommendation of the Chief Court is to be sanctioned, the amendment of the section is necessary.

for orders

8/7/25
16/4/25

X H.S.

4) The 2nd grade pleaders are now entitled to appear only in the Courts of Munsiffs and Magistrates. See section 7 of Regulation VII 1919.

5) On account of representations made to the Chief Judge by the 2nd grade pleaders, the Chief Court Judges recommend that the 2nd grade pleaders may be allowed to practise before the Sub Judge but only in small cause cases. The argument is that while the 2nd grade pleaders can practise in the

File No.

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Courts of municipalities have been having jurisdiction extends up to Rs 2500/-
it is ~~an~~ ambiguous that they should not be allowed to practise before the Sub Judge in small cause cases cases in which the Sub Judge exercises jurisdiction only up to Rs 2500/-

b) The Regⁿ therefore suggests with suitable amendments may be made in Regⁿ XII of 1919, so as to enable I grade pleaders to appear in all small cause suits.

c) The proposal may perhaps be approved.

(H. L. G.)

3) There does not seem to be any objection in approving the proposal of the Chief Commr. The proposal may perhaps be approved.

746
29.4

of the L & L Secy
with the an bring this up
in person for discussion

27/5

Serial
No. 10

10: approved the file with the resolution
after the return of the L.A. Secretary

27/15/25
27/15

2/16
2/16

28/15

Remed
4/16

(13)

1/16

13: had a talk with the members

2/16

28/15

14: had a talk with the members
Please bring this
to the
27/16

15: The Hon. Secy ordered that
this may be looked
along with the L.A. subject
10/11

2425/26
p

(5)

Extract of Resolutions passed at the Mysore Lawyers' Conference held at Tumkur in April 1926.

Resolution No. XXI.

U That this conference prays for the removal of the existing distinction between the First and Second Grade Pleaders.

5780
6.12

17

This is also one of the RA subjects. In the course of the discussion it was brought out that there were only first second grade Pleaders and considering their number, the distinction may be removed and the privileges of first grade Pleaders extended to the second grade Pleaders first promised to consider the question. The RA section may be requested

18 This may be done

to put up a copy of the discussions for action being taken. If action is being taken on the RA subject, the resolution of the Lawyers' conference requires no separate action.

21/4/26
for after

19 Whether any action is called for
is for orders
L.H. Leung

20 No action need be taken on this resolution.

12th mem.

201 file

100
7/12
7.12.

Dep

14/12

7.1 ✓

05
7/12 ✓

Memorandum of Subjects proposed for discussion in the Dasara

Session of the Representative Assembly of the Bangalore District.

For the Dasara Session of October 1926.

No. 18.

Summary of Representation.

Subject

Removal of the distinction between
1st and 2nd grade Pleaders.

Name of Member proposing

Mr. M. P. Somasekaraiah,
City Municipality,
Bangalore.

Previous Reference

do 335-1914.

Conferring on all 2nd grade Pleaders now practicing the status now held by 1st grade Pleaders. Removal of distinction between the two grades has been effected in Madras. The 2nd grade -- Pleaders in the Province have been urging for it. As the legal education of the two grades of pleaders is the same, the distinction has no rational basis. As the 2nd grade has been -- abolished the removal of distinctions between the pleaders of the two grades now in practice can materially affect no one. Hence it is prayed that the distinctions be abolished.

Previous references relating to the subjects.

Remarks by the Deputy Commissioner.

WD 2166-GPB-1,000-28-4-26

22 Sub
The Chief Clerk may perhaps be
consulted - Put up previous reference
23 Santhi
29/9/26
29/9

Leaves

Long

44

P

Kind reference is invited to
G.O. no J 1377-462 / U.S. 335-14-48
22.10.1917 directing that there
shall be only one class of Pleaders
corresponding to the first grade, the
general educational qualification
therefor being a Pass in the examination
at the end of the first year of the college
course of the Mysore University or the
Intermediate Examination of either British
Indian Universities and that ^{the} existing
Second Grade Pleaders shall have
their privileges ~~existing then for that~~
~~class of Pleaders~~ till that class of
Pleaders ceases to exist.

In accordance with the
above order, the Legal Practitioners
Regn was amended: by Regn ~~XII~~ 1819,
providing for only one class of Pleaders

C

~~Since then admission to the second~~
~~grade pleader was abolished.~~ In
para 16 of their letter ^{no A. Dis 34 D. 98-11-16 (Flagg)} the Chief
Clerk have given reasons ^{for having} ~~stating~~
^{only one class of Pleaders}
the second grade pleaders should not
be allowed to practise in Sub Courts
and District Courts

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes ~~must be~~ copied, or slips pasted on this form

2019126
Am
110

25 July

460.

✓

1536
p
2026


(8)

Subject No.85 Re. Removal of the distinction between
1st and 2nd grade Pleaders. by Mr.MP.Somasekara Rao.

=====

Secretariat Notes.

2501 Reference is invited to G.O.No.J.1377-462/Gts. 335-14-4
dated 22-10-1917 directing that there shall be only one
class of Pleaders corresponding to the First Grade, the
general educational qualification therefor being a Pass
in the examination at the end of the First year of the
College course of the Mysore University or the Intermediate
Examination of British Indian Universities and that the
existing Second grade Pleaders shall continue to have their
privileges till that class of pleaders ceases to exist.
In accordance with the above order, the Legal Practitioners
Regulation was amended, by Regulation XX of 1919,
providing for only one class of Pleaders. In para 16 of
their letter No.R.Dis.34 dated 28-11-1916, the Chief Court
have given reasons for having only one class of Pleaders.


For Secretary to Government,

Local and Legislative Departments.

TD

2425920

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No

of 192

Serial
No.

240

26 The proceedings of the R.A. Asana being yet not
seen to have been published yet. The R.A. action
may be requested to put up a copy of the discussions
for taking further action

27 To the R.A. office for favor of compliance
25/4/27 Am
26/4/27

28/20 The Protection Br. with a copy of the
discussions.

8R
6.5.27

D 10 86
RA

P. 10

Extract from the Proceedings of the Representative Assembly,
Dasara Session, 1926

* * * * *

No. 85.- Removal of the distinction between First and Second Grade Pleaders, and conferring on all Second Grade Pleaders the status now held by First Grade Pleaders.

29 Mr. M.P. Somasekhara Rao, Bangalore City Municipality.

MR. M.P. SOMASEKHARA RAO (CITY MUNICIPALITY, BANGALORE CITY.) represented that the removal of distinction between the two grades of Pleaders had been effected in Madras and prayed that the distinction should be abolished in Mysore also. He pointed out that as the legal education of the two grades of Pleaders was the same, the distinction had no rational basis, and besides the second grade examination had been abolished since 1923, and there was no prospect of its revival. He therefore, suggested that the status of the existing Second grade Pleaders might be raised so as to place them on a level with the First Grade Pleaders. Both the classes of pleaders read exactly the same books for the examination and the proposal would not affect anybody else but the existing few Second Grade Pleaders who would be highly satisfied with the change.

30 In supporting the above representation Mr. KRISHNASWAMI RAO pointed out that the existing Second Grade Pleaders were persons who had gained a lot of experience in their work; that the regulation fee was the same in respect of all grades of lawyers; and that even in court no distinction in treatment was being made. It was an anomaly, he said, that the Second Grade Pleaders were not allowed to handle small cause cases of Rs. 50 or Rs. 100 in the Sub-Courts even though in other courts they could deal with complicated cases involving thousands of rupees, and explained that the suggestion contained in the representation was aimed at removing this discrepancy.

31 The President replied that the matter would be considered by Government.

* * * * *

CS

File No.

118

of 192

6.27

Serial
No.

Ind

22 Since Mr. Lane promised that the question will be considered the Chief Court may perhaps be consulted in the matter. Ind with a doxyl

B

9/15/27
AM 7/5

33 The recommendation of the Chief Court ~~in letter~~ in letter

S. 4. 25 was that the 2nd grade Pleaders may be allowed to practice in S.C. Cases in Sub Courts.

34 In the same letter the opinion of the Chief Court is expressed that the Chief Court is not in favour of allowing 2nd grade Pleaders to practice in Sessions ~~and~~ Courts and that it would not be quite fair to the I grade Pleaders to place the II grade Pleaders on equal terms with I grade Pleaders especially since the number of II grade Pleaders in the State is appreciable.

35 Since then, the Samyals in their

5 File No. 118

of 1926-27

Serial
No.

L

2/.

Conference held in April 1926, have
passed a resolution recommending
the removal of the existing distinction
between the I & II grade Pleaders
and there was a representation
in the R. A. also to the same effect
~~It is~~ An extract from
the Proceedings of the R. A. is
put up.

36 It is therefore for consideration
whether the chief clerk may be
asked for opinion on the resolution
of the lawyers on the representa-
tions in the R. A.

37 Draft is submitted for consideration

(Members)

20
10/5

38 ~~be~~
11/5/27

21
11.5

39

me
11/5

5747

2425
76

13

App: F. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No.

of 192

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
 Received on
 5 JUL 1927
 Reg. No. 198

Serial
No.

2. To The Registrar Chief Court
rop 2908 2/14-5-27

Sum A
17/5

Calls for the opinion of the
Chief Court in the matter.

From

Messrs. Bhepant

Letter no 89 d. (1.6.27)

States that they have no objection to
the removal of the distinction between the
first and second grade Pleaders and if the
govt. agree, action may kindly be taken
to amend the Legal Practitioners Regulation
and for order

By 29/6/27 (40) Euled for perusal & orders
The Chief Court is agreeable

to the removal of the distinction
and states that if this is
given effect to, the Legal
Practitioners' Regn requires
amendment.

Secy Ld)

41) Regn may be amended if
appd 84

42) 1st minor
might be considered in Council
if Dewan approves of amendment
of Regn 27

CHIEF SECRETARY
- 6 JUL 1927

NOTE—Contd. sheet

GPB-5,000-30-3-27

Dewan

Chief Secy 15

5727

4/7/27

84

6. Subject. - Clause xii of the schedule.

Question of removal of the distinction between the
1st and 2nd Grade Pleaders and consequent amendment to
the Mysore Legal Practitioners' Regulation.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

44. The proposals of the Chief Court may be approved.

(Sd.) Mirza M. Ismail,

Dewan of Mysore.

"EXTRACT"

M. S. Rao

Chief Secretary to Government.

503
16/7

4.5 For favor of final note

1st Member.

new

4.6 7.11. Signed by 15/11/27 Member is placed
in file

6.11.27

Re: Amendment to the
Hypothec Legal Practitioners Register

App. F. III--2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No.

of 192 . .

15

Serial
No.

27 S. N. Subd.


recd

21/7

Dewan)

me

21.7.27



NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Final Note by the First Member of Council.

Subject:—

Question of removal of the distinction between the first and second grade Pleaders and consequent amendment to the Mysore Legal Practitioners' Regulation.

Z
F
B

48 The Mysore Lawyers' Conference held at Tumkur in April 1926 passed a resolution praying for the removal of the existing distinction between the first and second grade Pleaders. This question was also brought up in the Representative Assembly during the Dasara Session of 1926. The Chief Court who were consulted, have no objection to the removal of the distinction, especially in view of the fact that further admission to the category of second grade Pleaders has ceased.

49 The question was considered in Council at its meeting held on the

Page 14 of 15th July 1927 and it was of opinion that the proposals of the Chief - - Office Notes Court may be approved.

50 As this involves an amendment of the Legal Practitioners' Regulation, steps will be taken to amend the same.

51 The opinion of the Council may be graciously approved.

Bangalore,
19th July 1927.

K. S. Srinivas
MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

Submitted to His Highness.

✓ I submit herewith a Final Note by the...FIRST.....

*Member of Council on the subject of.....removal of the distinction
between the first and second grade Pleadars and consequent
amendment to the Mysore Legal Practitioners' Regulation,
for His Highness' gracious approval.*

Mirza M. Ismail

Dewan.

21-7-27.

mu

c9

15

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders
of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Removal of the distinction
between the first and second grade
Pleaders and consequent amendment of
the Mysore Legal Practitioners Regula-
tion.



51

Order.

53

K.R.W.

25/7/25

54

C. Secy

Ms

26.7.27

recd
26/7

5749

C9.

General and Revenue
Secretariat

SUBJECT

Removal of the distinction
between the 1st & 2nd grade Pleaders
and consequent amendment of the
Nepole Legal Practitioners' Regs.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

55) Circulated to Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders before /after issue of orders. (Vide Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

By Order,

M. S. Rao
Chief Secretary to Government.

Through the Secretary to Government,

Law Department.

24/7/27
26/7

56
Parup...
lee

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

5-7 Multi
29.7.27

Kind.
EXTRA MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

58
7.2.11

949
28/7
644
29/7
86
27/7

NOTE - Contn. sheet.

Secy. Law Dept.
with comf. nu
30/7
1.8.27

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. of 192

Serial
No.

60

To give effect to the proposal of the Chief Commr. section 7 of the Legal Practitioners Regulation as amended by Regulation XII of 1889, has to be amended.

The proviso to section 7 may perhaps be omitted and an explanation added - A pleader for the purposes of this section shall include a pleader now holding a certificate of the second grade [or to whom a certificate of 2nd grade may hereafter be granted].

61

After approval. It will be put up in the form of a Bill with Statement of objects and reasons.

And for order.

62

A copy of the Legal Practitioners Regn as amended up to date is for perusal.

Secs 6 & 7 relate to the enrolment of Pleaders & the Courts in which they can practice.

63

The existing 2nd grade Pleaders continue under the proviso to sec 7 until that class ceases to exist, there being no further addition to that class. As that proviso restricts their practice to only the Courts of Muzaff & Magistrate, it ~~is~~ has to be omitted, so that they may come under the main provision in sec 7. But

There will no longer be any addition to enrolment to this class has been decided

Please furnish
copy of the
Legal Practitioners
Regn 1889
6/8

L. J. V. V. V. V. V.
3/6/8

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No.

of 192

Serial
No.

that by itself does not seem to be sufficient
to enable the 2nd grade Pleaders to
practise. So an explanation may perhaps
be added as follows, in place of the present
 proviso, to Sec 7: -

Explanation. A Pleader for the
purpose of this section includes a
Pleader now holding a certificate
of the second grade

64 It is however for consideration
if under such a provision, the 2nd grade
Pleaders can practise in all the Civil Courts
of a district under the ^{main} provision in the
section, though the certificate granted to them
specifies only Courts of Munsiffs & Magistrates
under Sec 627. There will be no difficulty
if such certificates are issued to them as
in the case of the new class of Pleaders
such certificates can perhaps be validly
issued to the 2nd grade Pleaders after the
deletion of the existing proviso to Sec 7.
If this view is correct, the amendments
suggested viz (1) the omission of the proviso &
(2) the insertion of an explanation as above
will serve the purpose in view, and
the amending Regn may be given effect
from the commencement of the calendar

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No.

of 192

Serial
No.

Year so as to synchronise with the
issue of fresh certificates to the Pleaders
under Sec 12 of the Regn.

65 Advertisible will be added in
the usual form after orders are passed
on the above.

Subd for order

App
23/8

66 The So far as the Regulation
is concerned, there is no
distinction made with regard
to the classification of Pleaders
into I & II grade. It is only
with regard to the courts in
which they may practice that
a distinction is made between
I & II grade by the proviso to
section 7.

67 The decision now is to remove
the distinction between the two
grades. The distinctions are (1) the
scope of their practice (section 7)
and (2) their appellation, enrolment
fee etc.
with regard to (1), the decision of
the proviso to section 7 of the
Regulation, the distinction with
regard to the scope their practice will
be removed.

General and Revenue
 - Secretariat.

File No. of 192

Serial
 No.

With regards to (c), these are dealt with
 in the Rules framed by the Chief Court
 and the Chief Court may frame rules
 after the Regulation is amended.
 b8 & date may be fixed for the
 coming into operation of the amended
 Regulation so that the present
 11 grade pleaders may obtain
 in proper time, the 1 grade Pleadars'
 Certificates.

by Pleas put up a
 Note for the Secretary
 24/8

Secy. D

24/8

7th sent into a rule

24/8/17
 24/8

24/8
 Secy. D

71 Pleadars are under the disciplinary
 control of the Chief Court.

The Judges have agreed to the proposal
 of doing away with the distinction
 between the 1st & 2nd grade Pleadars.
 Details as to how they propose to
 confer the status of 1st grade on
 the existing body of 2nd grade Pleadars
 from what date.

72 It is, I think, the practice in B. & L.
 the report that a draft Bill regarding the
 policy of the amendment has to be
 submitted to Govt. by Ch. Ct.

In the present case Ch. Ct. may
 perhaps be asked to send a draft
 amendment.

1st Member

NOTE—Contn. sheet

26/8
 27/8

574928

24

App. F. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No.

of 192

Serial
No.

44) Subd into adraft to the chief court

8/19/27
Am 8/9

45) line
2
9/9

To The Registrar Chief Court.
NoP 1492 of 14.9.27

Request to suggest draft amendment
to the Legal Practitioners Regn to
give effect to the Views of the Chief Court

Start
9/9

46) Draft & o: subd as per orders of
the Combr in the linked file

True
24.9.27
Am 27/9

47) Please Ascertain
informally from
the chief court

27/9

For Continuation

Vide Regs file
24-27-164

48) The officer is preparing
draft under instructions.
May say that a reply
can be sent only after
circulating the draft
first to the judges.

Subd
True
28.9.27
Am 28/9

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

See 12.8)

Subd

The portion of the file relating to
Combr's section is separated and subd. This
may be recorded in separate now

16/4/29

B. (to be report permanently)
16/4/29

611/4

41421

25

4372


Cts 263 - 24 - 1.P

Telgm: "JUSTICE"

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,

Bangalore...8th April.....1925

R. O. C. No.	89	24-25
Dis		



A. Sreenivasaragavachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to Government,
Local & Legislative Departments
B A N G A L O R E

Sir,

I am directed to state that during the recent inspection by the Chief Judge of subordinate courts at Mysore representations were made by a large body of 2nd grade Pleaders, supported by several of the Bar Associations regarding the disabilities of Pleaders. I am to observe that Government in their proceedings No.J.1377-1426-Cts.335-14-4 dated 22nd October 1917 have ordered that there should be only one class of Pleaders in future corresponding to the present first grade Pleaders and that there should be no fresh recruitment to the class of second grade Pleaders. The proviso to section 7 of Regulation No.XII of 1919 amending the Mysore Legal Practitioners' Regulation III of 1884 lays down that a pleader now holding a certificate of second grade or to whom a certificate of the second grade may hereafter be granted shall be enrolled for practice only in the courts of Munsiffs and Magistrates. The Chief Court considers that there is force in the representation made by the second grade Pleaders that while they are allowed to appear and plead in cases of the pecuniary value up to

Rs.

Rs. 2,500/- in Munsiff's courts ~~and~~ they are not permitted to appear in cases of a small cause nature of pecuniary value from Rs. 50/- to Rs. 300/- in Subordinate Judge's - - courts. The Chief Court considers that the original limitation namely on the basis of the different grades of courts will not be so logical as a limitation based on the class or nature of suits, *and* that there is no reason why second Grade Pleaders should be debarred from appearing in small cause cases in Subordinate Judge's Courts. After careful consideration of other representations made by second grade Pleaders, I am to state that the Chief Court is not in favour of their being allowed to practice in Sessions Courts and that it would not be quite fair to the first grade Pleaders to place second grade Pleaders on equal terms with first grade especially since the number of second grade Pleaders in the State is still appreciable.

I am therefore to recommend suitable amendments to proviso 2 section 7 of Regulation ~~XXXXXX~~ No. XII of 1919 so as to permit the second grade Pleaders to appear in all small cause cases irrespective of the court in which they are filed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Therindaparsek

REGISTRAR. 8/4/25

TKV.

PP. F. VI. GL.]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 192

Date of issue..... 14/5/27

Signed by..... Mr. [Signature]

List of enclosures.....

Copied by..... [Signature]

Examined by.....

Section *cts*

File No. *263.2e*

Serial No. *1*

No. *5908*

To *The Reg'r. Henry Cant.*

Sir,

I am directed to
invite reference to your letter
no Rec. Bttn 89/24.15 } 8.4.25
re the permission to the second
grade pleaders to appear in all
small cause cases or respective
of the Court in which they are
filed. In this connection, I
am to forward herewith a
summary of representation on
Subject no 85 of ^{Basara} ~~seth~~ session
of RA of 1926 re Removal
of the distinction between First
over

and Second grade Pleadings and

Conference on all second grade

pleadings he states now held

by First grade Pleadings together

with the reply of first thereon and an extract of a resolution

~~to~~ to request you to kindly

forward first with the opinion

of the Whiff Court in the matter.

passed by the Lawyers

Conference held in

April 1926 and

I have etc

~~W~~
W/S J. J. J. J. J.

7/5/27
J. J. J. J. J.

2057
142
Telgm:
"JUSTICE"

27
5749
P828
CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,

Bangalore.....17th June.....1927 .

R. O. C. No.	Feb. 89
Dis.	24-25

From

A. Sreenivasaragavachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To

8
21-6.
P
12/12
B. NAGAPPA, ESQ., BAR-AT-LAW.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Law Department

B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. P. 5908 Cts. 263-26-2 dated the 14th May 1927, forwarding a summary of representation on subject No. 85 of the Dasara Session of Representative Assembly of 1926 re. removal of the distinction between first and second grade pleaders and conferring on all second grade pleaders the status now held by first grade pleaders with the reply of Government thereon and an extract of a resolution passed by the Lawyers' Conference held in April 1926 and requesting the opinion of the Chief Court in the matter.

In reply, I am to state that the Chief Court has no objection, in view of the resolution passed at the recent Lawyers' Conference and the fact that further admission to the category of second grade pleaders has ceased, to the removal of the distinction between the first and second grade pleaders now in existence and to the conferring on all second grade pleaders of the privilege and status now held by the first grade pleaders.

I am to add that if this view is accepted by Government,

they

they may be pleased to take necessary steps to amend the Mysore Legal Practitioners' Regulation to give effect to the alteration suggested.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

M. V. S. S. S. S. S.

For Registrar.

KVN/-

Bt

A.P. F. VI. GL.]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. *P. 1692*

General and Revenue Secretariat

the

192

To

*The Rep. Chief Const.**Sir*

Date of issue

14.9.27

Signed by

M. J. S.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by

W. J. S.

Examined by.....

With reference to your letter
 no. Doc Ref. 89(24-45) (17.6.27)
 stating that the Chief Const. have no
 objection to the removal of the distinction
 between first and second grade Pleaders
 and conferring on all second grade
 Pleaders the status now held by the
 first grade Pleaders, I am directed
 to request you to kindly suggest
 draft amendments to the Legal
 Practitioners' Regulation to give
 effect to the views of the Chief Const.
 I have etc

over

28